

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W~

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/733,858	12/10/2003	Wenxian Zhu	NOVLP090/NVLS002888	7860
22434 75	590 04/19/2005		EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			SMITH, BRADLEY	
P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2891	
		DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/733,858	ZHU ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bradley K. Smith	2891			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•			
2a)□		action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims	•				
5)□						
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 December 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 9/20/04, 4/8/05.	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5)	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/733,858

Art Unit: 2891

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-10, 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chu et al. (US Pregrant Publication 2005/0074946). With regards to claims 1,16 and 17, Chu et al. disclose partially filling a gap on a semiconductor substrate with a dielectric using a high density plasma chemical vapor deposition process; partially removing dielectric deposited in the gap from the gap opening by an etch back process conducted with etch process chemistry consisting essentially of hydrogen, wherein the substrate is biased during the etch back process and the etch rate is determined by the

Application/Control Number: 10/733,858 Page 3

Art Unit: 2891

substrate bias power; and further filling of the partially filled gap by a high density plasma chemical vapor deposition process (see paragraphs 0019-0027). With regards to claim 2, the process is repeated until the gap is filled (see paragraph 0025). With regards to claim 3, a high density plasma would inherently be isotropic type of plasma etching and this is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art. With regards to claim 4, it is inherent that when one increases the power one will increase the etch rate. With regrards to claims 5-7, 12, 13, 15, 19 and 20 Chu et al. disclose the claimed parameters (see Table 1). With regards to claims 8, 9, and 18, Chu et al. disclose the etch chemistry includes He (see paragraph 0023). With regards to claim 10, Chu et al. disclose the use of one chamber. With regard to claim 14 Chu et al. disclose the deposited dielectric is silicon oxide (see claim 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chu et al. (US Pregrant Publication 2005/0074946) in view of Williams et al (US Pregrant Publication 2004/0020894). Chu et al. disclose partially filling a gap on a semiconductor substrate with a dielectric using a high density plasma chemical vapor deposition process; partially removing dielectric deposited in the gap from the gap

opening by an etch back process conducted with etch process chemistry consisting essentially of hydrogen, wherein the substrate is biased during the etch back process and the etch rate is determined by the substrate bias power; and further filling of the partially filled gap by a high density plasma chemical vapor deposition process (see paragraphs 0019-0027). However Chu et al fails to disclose the use of radio frequency inductively coupled plasma. Whereas Williams et al. disclose the use of radio frequency inductively coupled plasma. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Chu et al. and Williams because the radio frequency inductively coupled plasma has good selectivity, control, and through-put (see Williams et al. paragraph 0003).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Toprac et al. (US Patent 6,706,541) disclose it is well known that increasing power will increase the etch rate (see column 5 lines 1-10).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley K. Smith whose telephone number is (571) 272-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-6 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on (571) 272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,858

Art Unit: 2891

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Brad Smith

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2891